

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/655,214	09/05/2003	Tatsuhiko Takahashi	Q77275	6987
23373	7590 09/23/2004	V	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			CORRIGAN, JAIME W	
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		3748	
			DATE MAILED: 00/22/200	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/655,214	TAKAHASHI, TAT	rsuHiko			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jaime W Corrigan	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the second se	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statular - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may iication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M II, by statute, cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objecti		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the state of the s		= : :				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	-fi	0.440(-).(-1)(0)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have be al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Intentio	w Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Neterences Cited (* 10-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05 September 2003</u>. 	O-948) Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al. (PN 5,664,529).

Regarding claim 1 Kato et al. discloses crank angle detection means for generating a crank angle position signal corresponding to a rotational angle of a crank shaft in an internal combustion engine (See Figure 1 (80). (1a)); cam angle modifying means (See Figure 2 (25)) for modifying at least a relative position of a crank shaft and a cam shaft for one of air intake and gas exhaust; cam angle detecting means (See Figure 1 (78)) for detecting a cam angle modified by the cam angle modifying means; drive (See Figure 2 (12)) means for driving the cam angle modifying means; target value calculating means (See Figure 1 (80), for calculating a target (See Column 9 Lines 41-60) value depending on an operation state of the internal combustion engine; cam angle control means for controlling the cam angle detected by the cam angle detecting means to coincide with the target value calculated by the target value calculating means (See Figure 1 (80), Column 9 Lines 41-67, Column 10 Lines 1-3); learning means for learning a control signal outputted to the drive means at a time when

Application/Control Number: 10/655,214

Art Unit: 3748

the target value and the cam angle substantially coincide (See Column 10 Lines 39-63, Column 11 Lines 40-65); and failure detecting means (See Column 12 Lines 32-67, Column 13 Lines 1-2) for detecting a failure of the cam angle modifying means, wherein the failure detecting means modifies (See Column 13 Lines 3-58) a failure detection condition according to whether or not learning is completed by the learning means.

Regarding claim 2 Kato et al. discloses the failure detecting means modifies a duration until the failure is detected, according to whether or not the learning is performed by the learning means as the failure detection condition (See Column 13 Lines 3-58).

Regarding claim 3 Kato et al. discloses the failure detecting means sets the duration until the failure is detected to be longer before than after the learning is performed by the learning means (See Column 17 Lines 48-67, Column 18 Lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 4 Kato et al. discloses the failure detecting means uses the cam angle detected by the cam angle detecting means as the failure detection condition (See Column 12 Lines 32-67, Column 13 Lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 5 Kato et al. discloses the failure detecting means uses the target value calculated by the target (See Column 9 Lines 41-60) value calculating

Art Unit: 3748

means and the cam angle detected by the cam angle detecting means, as the failure detection condition (See Column 12 Lines 32-67, Column 13 Lines 1-2).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 9 Kato et al. discloses the failure detecting means (See Column 12 Lines 32-67, Column 13 Lines 1-2) sets the duration (See Column 17 Lines 48-67, Column 18 Lines 1-3) until the failure is detected to be longer before than after the learning is performed by the learning means (See Column 17 Lines 48-67, Column 18 Lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 8 Kato et al. discloses the failure (See Column 12 Lines 32-67, Column 13 Lines 1-2) detecting means uses a differential between the target (See Column 9 Lines 41-60) value calculated by the target value calculating means and the cam angle detected by the cam angle detecting means, as the failure detection condition.

Regarding claim 10 Kato et al. discloses the learning means holds a learning (See Column 10 Lines 39-63, Column 11 Lines 40-65) value even after an ignition (See Column 10 Lines 25-38) switch is turned off.

Regarding claim 11 Kato et al. discloses when the learning (See Column 10 Lines 39-63, Column 11 Lines 40-65) by the learning means is not performed, failure

Application/Control Number: 10/655,214 Page 5

Art Unit: 3748

(See Column 12 Lines 32-67, Column 13 Lines 1-2) detection by the failure detecting

means is not performed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Kato et al. (PN 5,715,779), Shinojima (PN 5,333,577) disclose

similar timing devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Examiner Jaime Corrigan whose telephone number is (703) 308-2639. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. 2nd

Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax number for

this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0861.

JC

Jaime Corrigan

Patent Examiner

September 20, 2004

Art Unit 3748

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700